

PRESENTERS



Rachael Jones, Bankside Chambers, Auckland

Rachael is an experienced litigation lawyer with expertise in Māori legal issues, public and administrative law. She has appeared in the Supreme Court, Court of Appeal, High Court and Māori Land Court. With her special interest in Māori legal issues, Rachael has represented clients in precedent cases relating to tikanga Māori in the law of Aotearoa in the senior courts. She has also acted in alternative dispute resolution forums for iwi and hapū clients, as well as for entities who engage with Māori groups. Rachael joined Bankside Chambers as a member in 2025 after nearly a decade in the litigation team at Chapman Tripp. She is from Ngāti Kahungunu ki Wairoa and Ngāti Rakaipaaka.



Robert Kirkness, Thorndon Chambers, Wellington

Robert has a broad commercial, public and international law practice. He appears as counsel for private clients, the Crown and State agencies in commercial and public law disputes before the New Zealand courts, including several leading judicial review cases. Robert also appears as counsel for States and private clients in disputes before international courts and tribunals. In addition to counsel work, he has served as arbitrator in several international commercial disputes.



Sally McKechnie, Simpson Grierson, Wellington

Sally is a leading public law and regulatory advisor and litigator. She founded and leads Simpson Grierson's public law and government practice. Sally helps both private and public clients to manage a wide range of public and administrative law and regulatory issues including regulatory disputes, judicial review and declaratory judgment. She assists clients with participating in inquiries, government reviews and Royal Commissions and conducts investigations.

CONTENTS

1. INTRODUCTION	1
2. FUNDAMENTALS	3
JUDICIAL REVIEW INVOLVES SUPERVISION OF PUBLIC POWER BY THE COURTS	3
3. GROUNDS	9
ILLEGALITY	9
Error of law	10
Untenable conclusion by fact-finding body	11
Improper purpose	13
Duty to take reasonable steps to obtain relevant information.....	14
Relevancies and irrelevancies	15
Rigid application of pre-determined policy.....	15
Acting under dictation.....	16
Invalid delegation.....	17
<i>Ultra vires</i> regulations.....	17
UNFAIRNESS	17
Mistake as to incontrovertible fact	18
Natural justice	20
Consultation	21
A duty to consult?.....	21
What does consultation require?	22
Does the decision-maker need to consult again?.....	22
Bias.....	23
Substantive unfairness.....	23
UNREASONABLENESS	24
The New Zealand courts are tentatively developing the unreasonableness standard	25
4. RELIEF	31
REMEDIES AVAILABLE TO APPLICANTS.....	31
WHICH REMEDY TO SEEK?	31
WILL THE RELIEF SOUGHT BE GRANTED?.....	32
5. TRENDS	35
RECOGNITION OF TIKANGA MĀORI AND ROLE OF TE TIRITI O WAITANGI/THE TREATY OF WAITANGI.....	35
Evidence of tikanga: cautious guidance	36
The status of te Tiriti/the Treaty: pleading the Treaty	38
Navigating the principle of non-interference.....	39
CLIMATE CHANGE-RELATED JUDICIAL REVIEW	40
JUDICIAL REVIEW RELATING TO PARLIAMENTARY AND ELECTORAL PROCESSES	43
AI AND PUBLIC DECISION-MAKING	46
PUBLIC INTEREST CLAIMS.....	49
Civil Society and Pro Bono	49
6. PRACTICALITIES	51
NEW HIGH COURT RULES 2026.....	51
JUDICIAL REVIEW CAN CLARIFY THE LAW	51
The starting point is the legal framework for decision.....	52
PROPER PROCESS – DOCUMENTARY RECORD.....	53
The flaws of defensive decision making	54
Show your working	55
PROPER PROCESS – CONSULTATION.....	55
The strength of proper consultation.....	56
THE LAWYER’S ROLE	56
A “GOOD PROCESS” MODEL.....	57

7. JUDICIAL REVIEW: HOW TO DO IT	59
KICKING OFF: THE PLEADINGS	59
Justiciability	59
Assessing the sufficiency of your information	59
Jurisdiction	59
Intituling	60
PARTIES	61
Applicant(s)	61
Respondents	62
THE CROWN AS RESPONDENT	62
Statement of claim	63
Parties	63
The source of the decision-making power	63
Subject matter	64
Sequence of events	64
Decision subject to review	64
Grounds for relief	64
Remedy	65
WHAT ELSE TO FILE?	65
Notice of proceeding	65
Supporting affidavits	65
STATEMENT OF DEFENCE	65
Service	65
INTERIM ORDERS	66
EVIDENCE	66
PROCEDURE AT HEARING	68
Cross-examination?	68
Cross examination of senior decision-makers	69
OUTCOME	70
8. APPENDIX A	71
DECISION-MAKING CHECKLIST	71
9. APPENDIX B	73
PRECEDENTS	73
10. APPENDIX C	87
JUDICIAL REVIEW PROCEDURE ACT 2016	87